## (c)2016 p. D.V. Lukivska

Ivan Franko National University of Lviv

## Generalization of the Weierstrass $\wp$ , $\zeta$ and $\sigma$ functions

Побудовано аналоги  $\wp$ ,  $\zeta$  і  $\sigma$  функцій Вейєрштрасса для подвійно p-еліптичних функцій, тобто мероморфних в  $\mathbb{C}$  функцій g, що задовольняють умову  $g(u+m\omega_1+n\omega_2)=p^{m+n}g(u)$ для деяких  $\omega_1, \omega_2$ , деякого p і для всіх  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

For double p-elliptic functions, i. e. meromorphic in  $\mathbb{C}$  functions g satisfying the condition  $g(u+m\omega_1+n\omega_2)=p^{m+n}g(u)$  for some  $\omega_1,\omega_2$  and p and for all  $m,n\in\mathbb{Z}$ , analogues of  $\wp,\zeta$  and  $\sigma$ Weierstrass functions are constructed.

numbers such that  $Im\frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1} > 0$ . A meromorphic in  $\mathbb{C}$  function g is called **elliptic** [1] if for every  $u \in \mathbb{C}$ 

$$g(u + \omega_1) = g(u), \ g(u + \omega_2) = g(u).$$

Elliptic functions were first discovered by Niels Henrik Abel as inverse functions of elliptic integrals, and their theory was improved by Carl Gustav Jacobi. A more complete investigation of elliptic functions was later undertaken by Karl Theodor Wilhelm Weierstrass, who found a simple elliptic function  $(\wp)$  in terms of which all the others could be expressed.

**Definition 1.** Let  $\omega_1, \omega_2$  be complex numbers such that  $Im\frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1} > 0$ . A meromorphic in  $\mathbb C$  function g is called **double** p-elliptic, if there exists  $p \in \mathbb{C}^*$ , such that for every  $u \in \mathbb{C}$ 

$$g(u + \omega_1) = pg(u), \ g(u + \omega_2) = pg(u).$$

Denote the class of double p-elliptic functions by  $\mathcal{DE}_p$ .

Let  $\omega = m\omega_1 + n\omega_2$ ,  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{DE}_p$ then Definition 1 implies

$$g(u+\omega) = p^{m+n}g(u).$$

**Remark.** If p = 1 in Definition 1, we obtain classic elliptic function.

The classic Weierstrass  $\wp$ -function has the form (|1|, |2|)

$$\wp(u) = \frac{1}{u^2} + \sum_{\omega \neq 0} \left( \frac{1}{(u - \omega)^2} - \frac{1}{\omega^2} \right).$$
 (1)

Denote  $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$ . Let  $\omega_1, \omega_2$  be complex The Weierstrass  $\wp$ -function is elliptic [1] of periods  $\omega_1, \omega_2$ . Representations of classic Weierstrass  $\zeta$  and  $\sigma$  functions are also well known |1|, |2|:

$$\zeta(u) = \frac{1}{u} + \sum_{\omega \neq 0} \left( \frac{1}{u - \omega} + \frac{1}{\omega} + \frac{u}{\omega^2} \right), \quad (2)$$

$$\sigma(u) = u \prod_{\omega \neq 0} \left( 1 - \frac{u}{\omega} \right) e^{\frac{u}{\omega} + \frac{u^2}{2\omega^2}}.$$
 (3)

It should be noted, next equalities are valid

$$\wp(u) = -\zeta'(u), \ \zeta(u) = \frac{\sigma'(u)}{\sigma(u)},$$

$$\wp(u) = -\left(\frac{\sigma'(u)}{\sigma(u)}\right)'.$$

Let us remark that each elliptic function can be represented using (1), (2), (3). So, these functions play an important role for representation of elliptic functions.

The purpose of this article is to construct double p-elliptic function  $\widetilde{\wp}_{\alpha}(u)$ , which is an analogue of  $\wp(u)$ , as well as corresponding analogues of  $\zeta$  and  $\sigma$  functions.

Let  $p = e^{i\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha \neq 2\pi l$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Consider the function

$$G_{\alpha}(u) = \frac{1}{u^2} + \sum_{\omega \neq 0} \left( \frac{1}{(u-\omega)^2} - \frac{1}{\omega^2} \right) e^{i(m+n)\alpha},$$
(4)

where  $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $Im \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1} > 0$ ,  $\omega = m\omega_1 + n\omega_2$ ,

Since the double series  $\sum_{\omega \neq 0} \frac{1}{|\omega|^3}$  is convergent ([1], [2]), then the series in the right hand

side of (4) is uniformly convergent on every compact subset of  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Let us note, that if  $\alpha = 2\pi l$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $G_{\alpha}(u)$  coincides with  $\wp(u)$ .

We will show that there exists a unique constant  $C_{\alpha}$  such that  $(G_{\alpha} + C_{\alpha}) \in \mathcal{DE}_{p}$ , i. e.

$$G_{\alpha}(u+\omega_{i})+C_{\alpha}=e^{i\alpha}(G_{\alpha}(u)+C_{\alpha}), j=1,2.$$

The last property is called multi p-periodicity of  $\omega_i$ .

Let us consider the derivative of  $G_{\alpha}$ 

$$G'_{\alpha}(u) = -2\sum_{\omega} \frac{e^{i(m+n)\alpha}}{(u-\omega)^3}.$$

Hence, we have

$$G'_{\alpha}(u + \omega_{1}) =$$

$$= -2 \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{e^{i(m+n)\alpha}}{(u + \omega_{1} - m\omega_{1} - n\omega_{2})^{3}} =$$

$$= -2 \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{e^{i(m+n)\alpha}}{(u - (m-1)\omega_{1} - n\omega_{2})^{3}} =$$

$$= -2e^{i\alpha} \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{e^{i(m-1+n)\alpha}}{(u - (m-1)\omega_{1} - n\omega_{2})^{3}} =$$

$$= e^{i\alpha}G'_{\alpha}(u).$$

Thus, we obtain

$$G'_{\alpha}(u+\omega_1) - e^{i\alpha}G'_{\alpha}(u) = 0.$$
 (5)

Note that a function  $(G_{\alpha} + C)$  for any  $C \in \mathbb{C}$  satisfies (5). Put

$$C = C_{\alpha} = \frac{G_{\alpha} \left(\frac{\omega_{1}}{2}\right) - e^{i\alpha} G_{\alpha} \left(-\frac{\omega_{1}}{2}\right)}{e^{i\alpha} - 1}.$$
 (6)

We also define here  $C_0 = 0$ . Then the relation (5) implies

$$G_{\alpha}(u+\omega_1) + C_{\alpha} - e^{i\alpha}(G_{\alpha}(u) + C_{\alpha}) = A,$$

where A is a constant. If we set  $u = -\frac{\omega_1}{2}$ , it is easy to obtain

$$G_{\alpha}\left(\frac{\omega_1}{2}\right) - e^{i\alpha}G_{\alpha}\left(-\frac{\omega_1}{2}\right) + (1 - e^{i\alpha})C_{\alpha} = A.$$

Taking into account the choice of  $C_{\alpha}$  by equality (6), we deduce that A = 0. Therefore, we have

that is we have shown that the function  $(G_{\alpha} + C_{\alpha})$  is multi *p*-periodic of  $\omega_1$ .

It remains to prove the uniqueness of  $C_{\alpha}$ . Suppose that there exists a constant C different from  $C_{\alpha}$  such that a function  $(G_{\alpha} + C)$  is multi p-periodic of  $\omega_1$  too. So we get

$$G_{\alpha}(u+\omega_1)+C=e^{i\alpha}(G_{\alpha}(u)+C).$$

Subtracting this equality from (7), we obtain  $C_{\alpha} - C = e^{i\alpha} (C_{\alpha} - C)$ . Since  $\alpha \neq 2\pi l$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $C = C_{\alpha}$ .

Similarly, for period  $\omega_2$  we have

$$G_{\alpha}(u+\omega_2) + C_{\alpha} = e^{i\alpha}(G_{\alpha}(u) + C_{\alpha}) + B, (8)$$

where B is some constant. Let us find B. Using equalities (7) and (8), we obtain

$$G_{\alpha}(u + \omega_1 + \omega_2) + C_{\alpha} =$$

$$= e^{i\alpha}(G_{\alpha}(u + \omega_1) + C_{\alpha}) + B =$$

$$= e^{i2\alpha}(G_{\alpha}(u) + C_{\alpha}) + B,$$

and

$$G_{\alpha}(u + \omega_1 + \omega_2) + C_{\alpha} =$$

$$= e^{i\alpha}(G_{\alpha}(u + \omega_2) + C_{\alpha}) =$$

$$= e^{i2\alpha}(G_{\alpha}(u) + C_{\alpha}) + Be^{i\alpha}$$

Equating the right hand sides of these relations, we get

$$B = Be^{i\alpha}$$
.

Since  $\alpha \neq 2\pi l$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then the previous equality implies that B = 0. Therefore,

$$G_{\alpha}(u+\omega_2) + C_{\alpha} = e^{i\alpha} (G_{\alpha}(u) + C_{\alpha}).$$

Thus, function  $G_{\alpha} + C_{\alpha}$  is multi *p*-periodic of  $\omega_{j}$ , j = 1, 2.

Hence, we can write the obtained results as the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** A function of the form

$$\widetilde{\wp}_{\alpha}(u) = G_{\alpha}(u) + C_{\alpha}$$

where

$$C_{\alpha} = \frac{G_{\alpha}\left(\frac{\omega_{1}}{2}\right) - e^{i\alpha}G_{\alpha}\left(-\frac{\omega_{1}}{2}\right)}{e^{i\alpha} - 1},$$

$$G_{\alpha}(u+\omega_1) + C_{\alpha} = e^{i\alpha} (G_{\alpha}(u) + C_{\alpha}),$$
 (7) belongs to  $\mathcal{DE}_p$  with  $p = e^{i\alpha}$ .

**Remark.** It is easy to see that  $C_{\alpha}$  can be also expressed in the form

$$C_{\alpha} = \frac{G_{\alpha}\left(\frac{\omega_2}{2}\right) - e^{i\alpha}G_{\alpha}\left(-\frac{\omega_2}{2}\right)}{e^{i\alpha} - 1}.$$

Now consider the function

$$\widetilde{\zeta}_{\alpha}(u) = \frac{1}{u} + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{ik\alpha} \sum_{m+n=k} \left( \frac{1}{u-\omega} + \frac{1}{\omega} + \frac{u}{\omega^2} \right)$$

where  $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $Im\frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1} > 0$ ,  $\omega = m\omega_1 + n\omega_2$ ,  $m^2 + n^2 \neq 0$ ,  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The remainders of the series converge uniformly on the compact subsets of  $\mathbb{C}$  [2]. Differentiating  $\widetilde{\zeta}_{\alpha}$ , we obtain

$$G_{\alpha}(u) = -\widetilde{\zeta}'_{\alpha}(u).$$

Hence,

$$\widetilde{\wp}_{\alpha}(u) = G_{\alpha}(u) + C_{\alpha} = C_{\alpha} - \widetilde{\zeta}'_{\alpha}(u).$$

For  $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  denote

$$\widetilde{\chi}_k(u) = \sum_{m+n=k} \left( \frac{1}{u-\omega} + \frac{1}{\omega} + \frac{u}{\omega^2} \right).$$

Also for k = 0 and  $m^2 + n^2 \neq 0$ 

$$\widetilde{\chi}_0(u) = \frac{1}{u} + \sum_{m = 0} \left( \frac{1}{u - \omega} + \frac{1}{\omega} + \frac{u}{\omega^2} \right).$$

Then  $\widetilde{\zeta}_{\alpha}$  can be rewritten as follows

$$\widetilde{\zeta}_{\alpha}(u) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{ik\alpha} \widetilde{\chi}_k(u). \tag{9}$$

Denote the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$  with radial slits from  $\omega$  to  $\infty$  by  $A^*$ . Integrating  $\left(\widetilde{\chi}_0(t) - \frac{1}{t}\right)$ and  $\widetilde{\chi}_k(t)$  along a path in  $A^*$  which connects points 0 and u, we obtain

$$\int_{0}^{u} \left( \widetilde{\chi}_{0}(t) - \frac{1}{t} \right) dt =$$

$$= \sum_{m+n=0}^{\infty} \left( \log \left( 1 - \frac{u}{\omega} \right) + \frac{u}{\omega} + \frac{u^{2}}{2\omega^{2}} \right), \quad (10)$$

where  $m^2 + n^2 \neq 0$  and

$$\int_{0}^{u} \widetilde{\chi}_{k}(t)dt = \sum_{m+n=k} \left( \log \left( 1 - \frac{u}{\omega} \right) + \frac{u}{\omega} + \frac{u^{2}}{2\omega^{2}} \right).$$
(11)

Let us consider entire functions

$$\widetilde{\sigma}_0(u) = u \prod_{m+n=0} \left( 1 - \frac{u}{\omega} \right) e^{\frac{u}{\omega} + \frac{u^2}{2\omega^2}}, \quad m^2 + n^2 \neq 0,$$

$$\widetilde{\sigma}_k(u) = \prod_{m+n=k} \left( 1 - \frac{u}{\omega} \right) e^{\frac{u}{\omega} + \frac{u^2}{2\omega^2}}, \ k \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash \{0\}.$$

Using these functions, we can rewrite (10) and (11) in the form

$$\int_{0}^{u} \left( \widetilde{\chi}_{0}(t) - \frac{1}{t} \right) dt = \log \frac{\widetilde{\sigma}_{0}(u)}{u},$$

$$\int_{0}^{u} \widetilde{\chi}_{k}(t)dt = \log \widetilde{\sigma}_{k}(u).$$

If we differentiate these relations, we obtain

$$\widetilde{\chi}_0(u) = \frac{\widetilde{\sigma}'_0(u)}{\widetilde{\sigma}_0(u)}, \quad \widetilde{\chi}_k(u) = \frac{\widetilde{\sigma}'_k(u)}{\widetilde{\sigma}_k(u)}.$$

Taking into account such representations of  $\widetilde{\chi}_k(u)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we can rewrite (9) as follows

$$\widetilde{\zeta}_{\alpha}(u) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{ik\alpha} \frac{\widetilde{\sigma}'_{k}(u)}{\widetilde{\sigma}_{k}(u)}.$$

Hence,  $\widetilde{\wp}_{\alpha}$  can be rewritten in the next form

$$\widetilde{\wp}_{\alpha}(u) = C_{\alpha} + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{ik\alpha} \frac{\widetilde{\sigma}_{k}^{\prime 2}(u) - \widetilde{\sigma}_{k}^{\prime \prime}(u) \widetilde{\sigma}_{k}(u)}{\widetilde{\sigma}_{k}^{2}(u)}.$$

**Remark.** If we consider a product  $\prod_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \widetilde{\sigma}_k(u)$ , we obtain the classic Weierstrass  $\sigma$ -function. If  $\alpha = 2\pi l, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $\widetilde{\zeta}_0$  is the classic Weierstrass  $\zeta$ -function.

## REFERENCES

1. Y. Hellegouarch. Invitation to the Mathematics of Fermat-Wiles. — Academic Press, 2002. — 381 pp.

2. A. Hurwitz, R. Courant. Function theory. — Moscow: Nauka, 1968. — 648 pp.(in Russian)